CHEMISTRY

Catalytically powered dynamic assembly of rod-shaped nanomotors and passive tracer particles

Wei Wang, Wentao Duan, Ayusman Sen¹, and Thomas E. Mallouk¹

Department of Chemistry, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802

Edited by David A. Tirrell, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, and approved September 26, 2013 (received for review June 18, 2013)

Nano- and microscale motors powered by catalytic reactions exhibit collective behavior such as swarming, predator-prey interactions, and chemotaxis that resemble those of biological microorganisms. A quantitative understanding of the catalytically generated forces between particles that lead to these behaviors has so far been lacking. Observations and numerical simulations of pairwise interactions between gold-platinum nanorods in hydrogen peroxide solutions show that attractive and repulsive interactions arise from the catalytically generated electric field. Electrokinetic effects drive the assembly of staggered doublets and triplets of nanorods that are moving in the same direction. None of these behaviors are observed with nanorods composed of a single metal. The motors also collect tracer microparticles at their head or tail, depending on the charge of the particles, actively assembling them into close-packed rafts and aggregates of rafts. These motor-tracer particle interactions can also be understood in terms of the catalytically generated electric field around the ends of the nanorod motors.

dynamic interactions | self-assembly | colloidal transport

he dynamic interactions between moving objects, in particu-lar their response to external stimuli and their communication with each other, govern their collective behavior on many length scales. Schooling of fish and flocking of birds are good examples of emergent phenomena that are orchestrated by communication between individuals in a large group. In these systems, macroscale organization is typically driven by nearest neighbor interactions that follow simple rules. To reach the level of organization seen in such living assemblies, fast and precise (in terms of distances, angles, and velocities) communication and control are required from the members. It is now straightforward to create computational models from which such dynamic structures emerge, but artificial systems that mimic behaviors as complicated as fish schooling have very rarely been realized experimentally in macroscopic engineered systems (1). On the other hand, self-assembly at the nano- and molecular levels already demonstrates a certain level of complexity and has furthered our understanding of dynamic interactions at small scales (2, 3).

There are already many examples of particle assembly driven by local forces or externally applied fields. Externally applied light, magnetic, electric, and acoustic fields can drive symmetric particles into ordered arrays (4–7). Colloidal Janus particles selfassemble into complex structures by various mechanisms (8–12). However, in these examples the particle aggregates hardly approach the complexity of assemblies of living organisms; the interactions are passive responses to local forces and external fields with very limited interparticle communication or active response to the behavior of nearest neighbors.

Interactions between active particles, on the other hand, can more closely mimic those of living organisms (13–17). Powered particles generate signals, typically in the forms of chemical gradients, pressure, or electric potential, which can induce responses from nearby particles. When the particle density is high, collective behaviors can emerge. For example, rotating millimeter scale objects assemble into organized patterns (1, 18). Patterns also emerge in collections of dipolar disks that are mechanically propelled along their polar axis (19). Autonomously moving nano- and micromotors (20) exhibit rich collective behavior including swarming and schooling (21-27), predator-prey interactions (25), attraction and repulsion between rotors (28, 29), spatiotemporal oscillations (21, 25), and dynamic self-assembly (29, 30). Hydrophobicity and hydrodynamic interactions can also drive the assembly of nanomotors (31, 32). Although theoretical models and numerical simulations have furthered our understanding of these systems (33-37), there is still a lack of information on the pairwise interactions of particles that result in emergent behavior. Quantifying these interactions at the level of individual microparticles should lead to better understanding of active matter (whether it is composed of synthetic and biological micromotors) and may ultimately enable the prediction, design, and application of collective behavior.

Here we report dynamic intermotor interactions and particle selfassembly in systems of self-electrophoretically driven platinumgold nanorods. These catalytic nanomotors move autonomously at ~20 μ m/s when placed in 1–2 M H₂O₂ solution (38–40). In addition to their axial movement, which is well known from previous reports, we have observed that powered nanorods dynamically associate to form staggered doublets and triplets. When the nanomotors are mixed with charged tracer particles (the sizes of the motor and tracer particles are shown in Figs. S1-S4), they collect the passive particle "cargo" at the front or back end of the rods, depending on the charge on the passive particles, and drive their assembly into close-packed 2D rafts. None of these behaviors are observed with nanorods composed of a single metal. Analysis of tracking data and numerical simulations show that all of these behaviors originate from electrokinetic and electrostatic effects in systems of powered nanorods.

Results and Discussion

Interactions Between Active Nanomotors. When suspended in 5 wt% H_2O_2 solution, bimetallic Au–Pt nanorods (Fig. S1) move

Significance

Microscale catalyst particles suspended in fluids can convert the energy of chemical reactions that occur on their surfaces to movement. Collections of particles undergoing powered motion exhibit behavior that mimics living microparticles such as bacteria: swarming, predator-prey interactions, and chemotaxis. These behaviors originate from pairwise interactions of particles that so far have not been measured or understood. In this article, short-range attractive interactions of catalytic nanorod motors were shown to arise from electrical forces. These forces drive the transient formation of dimers and trimers, and are also responsible for the collection of passive tracer particles at the ends of the nanorods. The quantitative description of these interactions lays the theoretical groundwork for understanding and manipulating the collective behavior of nanomotors.

Author contributions: W.W., A.S., and T.E.M. designed research; W.W. and W.D. performed research; W.W., W.D., and T.E.M. analyzed data; and W.W., W.D., A.S., and T.E.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: tem5@psu.edu or asen@psu.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1311543110/-/DCSupplemental.

autonomously at axial speeds in the range of 10 body lengths per second. The rods can associate to form staggered doublets when moving close to one other. Once formed, these doublets travel in arcs as illustrated in Fig. 1A and Movie S1. In almost all cases, the doublets are formed by two nanomotors moving in the same direction. Motors moving in opposite directions quickly move past each other without significantly interacting (Fig. 1B). The assembly of doublets is reversible in that they can separate and resume their individual axial motion, or recombine after separation. Although it is not frequently observed, a doublet can attract a third nanomotor to form a triplet (Fig. 1A). These triplets are symmetric about their central rod and move in a relatively linear trajectory. The assembly of doublets and triplets was observed only in systems of active nanomotors. In contrast, passive nanorods (i.e., rods with only one metal component) were subject only to Brownian motion and did not associate into dimers. Although most experiments were done with Au-Pt nanorods, Au-Ru nanorods had similar interactions in 5% (wt/wt) H₂O₂ solutions.

Mechanism of Nanomotor Interaction and Assembly. As discussed in earlier reports, the movement of bimetallic nanorods in hydrogen peroxide solution is primarily driven by an electrophoretic mechanism involving a self-generated electric field (39, 41–43). H_2O_2 molecules are oxidized and both H_2O_2 and O_2 are reduced preferentially on the anode (Pt) and cathode (Au) segments of the rods, respectively, as shown in Eqs. 1–4.

Overall :
$$2H_2O_2(aq) \rightarrow 2H_2O(l) + O_2(g)$$
, [1]

Anode(Pt) :
$$H_2O_2(aq) \rightarrow 2H^+(aq) + O_2(g) + 2e^-$$
, [2]

$$Cathode(Au): H_2O_2(aq) + 2H^+(aq) + 2e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O(l), \quad [3]$$

and

$$Cathode(Au): \frac{1}{2}O_{2}(g) + 2H^{+}(aq) + 2e^{-} \rightarrow H_{2}O(l). \tag{4}$$

This leads to a concentration gradient of protons and counteranions along the motor surface, and therefore results in a local electrical field pointing from Pt to Au. The self-generated electric field then drives the electrophoretic motion of the negatively charged nanorod itself. These proton gradients and electric fields also play important roles in the dynamic interactions between these motors, which are also subject to van der Waals attraction and electrostatic forces. The van der Waals force is short-ranged and may contribute to the attraction between two metallic nanorods when they come close to each other. However, it does not explain the staggered shape of the doublets, nor can it be solely responsible for their assembly, because bimetallic nanorod suspensions are stable with minimal aggregation in the absence of H₂O₂. Further, homogeneous Au rods do not assemble in H₂O₂ solutions. Therefore, additional forces must exist when the nanorods are bimetallic and are exposed to H₂O₂.

We propose that this additional attractive force arises from the electric field generated by the active motors. This force, which is also manifested in the interactions of the motors with charged tracer particles (see Interactions Between Nanomotors and Passive Tracer Particles), begins to affect the trajectory of motors when they pass within about 1 µm of each other. Because each end of the nanorod is surrounded by a layer of solution that is either positively (Pt) or negatively charged (Au), the segments surrounded by oppositely charged solutions attract each other. The specific interaction pattern depends on the trajectories of the two nanomotors, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Typically, the result of a collision of rods moving in the same direction is a staggered doublet, although head-to-tail dimers are sometimes observed. A numerical simulation of the space charge distribution around a Au-Pt nanomotor (Fig. 2) shows that the electrostatic energy is minimized when opposite ends of two nanomotors



Fig. 1. Interactions between self-electrophoretic nanomotors moving in the same and opposite directions. (*A*) Two nanomotors moving in the same direction can form a staggered doublet that moves in a clockwise or counterclockwise arc. Such doublets can attract a third nanomotor to form triplets. In rare cases two nanomotors can associate with each other head-to-tail. (*B*) The interaction between two nanomotors moving in the opposite direction is typically much more short-lived and weaker.

meet (Fig. 2, *Left*). Simulations for pairs of rods in contact show similar electric field distributions (Fig. S5). Although the simulations suggest that two motors moving in opposite directions can also minimize their electrical energy by overlapping in parallel (Fig. 2, *Right*), such a doublet should have only a transient existence because the shear stress between nanomotors at a relative speed of ~40 μ m/s would quickly separate them. We have not observed the formation of dimers from motors moving in opposite directions.

Rotation and Splitting of Doublets. Nanomotor doublets, after they form, typically move in circular trajectories and eventually split. In most cases, the doublets rotate to the side of the motor that had the lower speed before assembly. Typical tracking data for such a doublet from Movie S1 are as shown in Fig. 3. The two motors attract each other and form a staggered doublet that immediately begins to rotate. The doublet then splits and the two nanomotors resume their respective trajectories. All of the doublets were observed to split on a time scale from 0.1 s to several seconds. The speeds of the two nanomotors in Fig. 3 were initially $34 \pm 3 \mu$ m/s and $30 \pm 9 \mu$ m/s and dropped to $30 \pm 10 \mu$ m/s and $22 \pm 7 \,\mu$ m/s, respectively, once the doublet formed. The doublet rotates in the direction of the slower motor. The ratio of the motor speeds in the doublet (1.4) agrees well with the ratio of the radii in which they rotate (1.4 from tracking data). This indicates that the two motors, which are constrained to move at the same angular velocity, retain their ratio of axial speeds. Furthermore, the distance between the centers of the two nanomotors stabilized at $1.0 \pm 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ in the doublet, and a clear departure from that value was observed when the nanomotors were moving separately before the doublet formed or after it dissociated (Fig. 3C).

In principle the doublet can maintain a linear trajectory if the speeds of the two component motors are the same. However, bimetallic nanomotors typically exhibit a range of speeds, probably due to the nonuniformity induced in their growth (see *SI Text* for details). Experimentally, all of the doublets we observed rotated toward the slower motor, whether it was the leading or trailing rod in the doublet. The circular movement of the doublets can be understood by considering the individual propulsion forces of the two motors, as shown in Fig. S64. At low Reynolds number, the propulsion force (F_{prop}) of a nanomotor is balanced by the viscous drag force (F_{drag}) the solution exerts on it, as shown in Eq. 5 (44):



Fig. 2. Numerical simulation of the electrostatic interactions between two nanomotors at different relative positions. Distances on both *x* and *y* axes in the images are shown in μ m. These images are slices of the *xz* planes of 3D simulation results. Colors in the images represent the space charge density (C/m³), with red being positive and blue negative. Cartoons of Au–Pt nanomotors are superimposed over the simulation results for illustrative purposes, with coloring of the two segments of the rods.

$$F_{prop} = F_{drag} = \frac{2\pi\mu L}{\ln\left(\frac{L}{R}\right) - 0.72}\nu,$$
[5]

where μ is the dynamic viscosity of water, *L* is the length of the cylindrical rod, *R* is its radius, and *v* is the motor speed. For two nanomotors of similar size, the propulsion forces are proportional to the individual velocities. Unequal propulsion forces generate a nonzero net torque, which causes the doublet to rotate to the side of the slower motor.

The splitting of nanomotor doublets and triplets appears to be driven by random Brownian motion, and this mechanism enables us to estimate the binding energy of the doublets. The splitting rate can be expressed in terms of the Arrhenius equation:

$$k = Aexp\left(-\frac{E}{kT}\right),$$
 [6]

where k is the first-order rate constant for the process, A is the attempt frequency, E is the binding energy of the doublet, and kT is the thermal energy (4.1 × 10⁻²¹ J at 298 K). The value of k from our observations is on the order of 1 s⁻¹. The attempt frequency can be estimated as A = f/2m, where f = F_{drag}/v is the

drag coefficient and m is the mass of the rod (45). This calculation gives A on the order of $6 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a binding energy E of 5×10^{-20} J. If we assume that the attractive force acts over a distance of ~300 nm (one rod diameter), then the force can be estimated as F = E/dx, or 0.2 pN. This is on the same order as F_{prop} (and F_{drag}) acting on an individual motor. Once Brownian motion separates the leading ends, catalytic propulsion of the individual motors quickly leads to splitting of the doublet.

Interactions Between Nanomotors and Passive Tracer Particles. In addition to driving the formation of nanomotor dimers and trimers, the catalytic decomposition of H₂O₂ also causes passive tracer particles to assemble at the ends of the rods. Au–Pt bimetallic rods were mixed with passive particles [gold nanorods of similar dimensions to the bimetallic nanorods (Fig. S2), 1.7 µm diameter polystyrene (PS) spheres and gold spheres of roughly 1 µm diameter]. in 5% H₂O₂ solution. All three kinds of tracer particles had negative zeta potentials ($\zeta_{Au rod} = -47 \pm 4$ mV, $\zeta_{PS} = -64 \pm 2$ mV, $\zeta_{Au sphere} = -64 \pm 7$ mV). In all three cases the bimetallic nanomotors moved autonomously as they did in the absence of tracer particles. The charged tracer particles, when not interacting with the nanomotors, exhibited typical Brownian motion

Attraction between active nanomotors and charged tracer particles was observed in all cases, yet the attraction between nanomotors and gold nanorods was the weakest and shortest lived (Movie S2). This is in contrast to the interaction between pairs of bimetallic nanorods, which results in a rotating doublet. The distortion of the trajectory of both the nanomotor and the gold nanorod is subtle; the nanomotor quickly cruises past the gold nanorod, and they resume their original individual paths. Very rarely do they form doublets, and when they do the doublets split quickly. These observations strongly suggest that the interaction between two nanomotors is not purely due to van der Waals or electrostatic forces. Otherwise gold rods would show similar interactions with themselves as well as with active nanomotors. Gold microspheres, however, exhibit much stronger interactions with active nanomotors (Movie S3). In this case the spheres attach to the nanomotor surface at the Pt end. Subsequently, more gold microspheres attach until a close-packed raft forms at the Pt end of the nanomotor. The details of these interactions will be discussed later. The more persistent attachment of gold microspheres relative to gold rods can be attributed to the different



Fig. 3. Tracking results and analysis of the interactions between two Au–Pt nanomotors. (*A*) Snapshot sequence of two nanomotors (white circle) binding together and splitting apart within 1 s. Attachment begins at 0.017 s, and the splitting begins at 0.825 s and finishes at 0.858 s. (*B*) Tracking results showing the trajectory of the two nanomotors. (*C*) The relative distance between the centers of the two nanomotors during the period of interaction. (*C, Inset*) The circular trajectory of the centers of the two nanomotors.

drag forces experienced by rods and spheres. The drag force on a sphere at low Reynolds number is given by Eq. 7:

$$F_{drag} = 6\pi\mu r v, \qquad [7]$$

where μ is the dynamic viscosity of water, *r* is the sphere radius, and *v* is the motor speed. Compared with 1 µm diameter gold spheres moving at the same speed, gold rods (3 µm long and 300 nm in diameter) should experience 30% higher drag force when they are moving along their long axis (Eq. 6). Therefore, nanomotors carrying a gold rod would be subject to larger shear forces than those carrying gold spheres. Furthermore, the gold spheres carry a more negative charge (ζ_{Au} sphere = -64 ± 7 mV) than the gold rods (ζ_{Au} rod = -47 ± 4 mV), and this translates to a stronger electrokinetic force experienced by the gold spheres than by the rods.

PS microspheres also exhibited strong interactions (Movie S4), very similar to those of gold spheres, when mixed with active Au– Pt nanomotors in H₂O₂. Fig. 4 summarizes the interactions and common types of assembly that were observed. When moving nanorods approach charged tracer particles (gold or PS microspheres), the particles move toward the rods and eventually attach to their surface. Typically, the tracer particles attach to only one end of the nanomotor. Negatively charged microparticles (gold or PS spheres) attach to the Pt end, and positively charged microspheres, $\zeta = 24 \pm 5$ mV) attach to the Au end (Movie S5). This behavior can be understood in terms of the catalytically generated electric field. Protons are generated at the Pt end and consumed at the Au end, so negatively charged particles migrate up the electric field toward the Pt end.

The tracer particle assembly process is also reversible, as manifested by the detachment of tracer particles from the motor surface through collisions with other particles. However, a doublet formed between a nanorod and sphere is much more stable than a doublet of two active motors in the sense that motor-sphere aggregates do not spontaneously disintegrate over the time span of our observations (up to a few minutes). Therefore, it is possible for



Fig. 4. Assembly of charged tracer microspheres on nanomotors. Charged microspheres are attracted to and eventually attach to the surface of the motors (Pt is silver in color and Au is golden in color). Depending on the charge type of the microparticles, they can attach to the Pt end (negatively charged particles) or Au end (positively charged particles). This stacking process can continue until a close-packed particle assembly is formed around the moving nanorod. (*Insets*) Optical microscopic images of the assemblies formed by Au–Pt nanomotors. The top image is the interaction between an Au–Pt nanomotor and a amidine functionalized PS sphere, and the other images show the assembly of negatively charged PS spheres on Au–Pt nanomotors.

the assembly to continue attracting and "capturing" additional tracers one by one, or to interact with another motor.

In general, tracer particles follow the electric field gradient and attach to nanorods in a way that most efficiently covers the nanorod surface. This preferential attachment leads to a closepacked assembly of spheres around the nanorod. However, the spheres populate only one end of the nanomotor because the electric field at the other end repels the tracer particles. In addition, only 2D assembly is observed; no particles are observed above or below the close-packed plane, presumably because there is no force in the z direction. This was confirmed by adjusting the microscope focus. It is important to note that the attractive electrophoretic force that moves the tracer particles to the surface of the nanomotors dominates over the repulsive force between particles of like charge. This is evidenced by the fact that assembly occurs and is consistent with the observations that active nanorods can form dimers and trimers despite that fact that the particles are all negatively charged.

Because of their uniform size distribution, negatively charged 1.7 µm diameter PS spheres were chosen for a more in-depth study of the interactions between active motors and charged tracer particles. Fig. S7 presents an example of how the charged tracer particles assemble with free-moving Au–Pt nanomotors, as is shown in Movie S4. In this movie, the nanomotor interacts with and attaches the microspheres one by one, eventually forming a raft in which one nanomotor carries four spheres in a close-packed arrangement.

The nanomotor trajectory is altered upon attachment of tracer particles, especially when the assembled structure is asymmetric. On a time scale of 1–2 s, an Au–Pt nanomotor by itself has a relatively linear trajectory, which is randomized on a longer time scale by Brownian motion. When the first sphere attaches to the nanorod surface, the trajectory of the doublet typically changes to circular due to the asymmetry of the doublet. The second sphere attaches to the other side of the nanorod in most cases, and the trajectory becomes roughly linear. Further addition of spheres causes relatively little distortion of the trajectory because the aggregate is relatively symmetric.

The speed of the motor–sphere aggregate decreases as more spheres are attached to the nanomotor, as illustrated in Fig. S8. This trend qualitatively agrees with a previous report by Solovev et al., who observed that the speed of motor–cargo aggregates decreases with increasing number of cargo particles (46).

Finally, by tracking the speed of the tracer particles during their migration toward the motor, we were able to obtain strong evidence that the attraction originates from the electric field generated by the motors-that is, through localized electrophoresis. The electric field distribution around the motors was simulated by using the COMSOL multiphysics package (see ref. 47 for modeling details). Then, an electrophoretic velocity profile as a function of the distance between the tracer particle and the motor was calculated based on the electric field distribution (Fig. 5). This velocity profile agreed qualitatively with the tracking data of the first PS particle to attach in Fig. S7. Both simulation and tracking results show that the tracer particle is relatively idle at distances more than a few micrometers away from the motor, and that it accelerates as it moves closer to the nanomotor. Its speed reaches a peak when the PS particle is about 0.5 µm away from the motor, and then drops significantly as it moves closer to the nanomotor. Although the simulation and experiment show similar trends at distances greater than 0.5 µm, the behavior of the PS particle very close to the motor surface should be different in the experiments and the simulation. In the simulation, which does not include short-range van der Waals forces, the electric field magnitude tends to zero at the nanomotor surface due to a vanishing potential gradient, resulting in a speed of zero for the PS particle. However, in the experiment, when the PS particle is close to the motor surface, the short-range van der Waals attractive force draws it toward the nanomotor, resulting in a nonzero velocity. Experimentally the PS bead reached a peak velocity of $\sim 20 \,\mu\text{m/s}$ when it was 500 nm away from the motor,

yielding an attractive force of roughly 0.3 pN. The close correspondence between the magnitude of this force and the attractive force that is responsible for binding two motors into a doublet (estimated to be 0.2 pN above) suggests a similar origin for the two phenomena.

In catalytic motor systems, non-charge–specific forces can also contribute to motion through pumping. These effects include electroosmotic pumping along the surface of the charged substrate (glass) and dielectrophoresis in response to the distribution of the electric field around the nanorods. However, these effects are clearly weak in the migration of charged microspheres toward Au–Pt nanorods. Positively and negatively charged spheres move toward the Au and Pt ends of the rods, respectively. Electroosmosis and dielectrophoresis would simply move all particles in the same direction regardless of their surface charge.

Comparison with Interacting Motor Systems Based on Self-Diffusiophoresis. Interactions between active motors are receiving a wealth of attention as they imply communication and cooperation between "intelligent" microparticles. In most systems that have been studied, the motors are spherical and their interactions are based on a diffusiophoretic mechanism. In such systems, active motors produce electrolytes at their surfaces as a result of chemical reactions, and the electrolyte concentration gradients power particle movement through a combination of electrophoretic and chemophoretic effects. The motors interact with other particles that respond to the chemical gradients and flows surrounding them, and such systems consequently exhibit collective emergent patterns (24-26, 48). The current system complements these in that the interaction between active selfelectrophoretic motors has several unique features. First, the interaction is short range (roughly one rod body length) as the motors interact with each other only when they share a path. In contrast, diffusiophoretic motors can interact over distances of 10 µm or more. The difference arises from the fact that the electric fields generated by self-electrophoretic motors are highly localized and do not extend over the diffusion length scale $(\sim 30 \ \mu m)$ as do the chemical signals in diffusiophoretic systems. Second, because bimetallic nanorod motors are anisotropic, their assembly is strongly influenced by the relative position



Fig. 5. Electrophoretic speed of a tracer PS particle as it approaches the nanomotor. (*Inset*) Numerical simulation of the electric potential distribution around an Au–Pt nanomotor. Red and blue shading represents the electric potential, with red and blue being positive and negative, respectively. The arrows indicate the electric field vector. The electrophoretic speed of a PS particle with a zeta potential of –64 mV along the black dashed line is simulated and plotted as the blue curve (normalized to the peak speed). Red data points are from the tracking results of the first PS particle in Fig. S7 (and are normalized to the peak speed). The effect of Brownian motion was subtracted from the velocity profile of the tracking results.

and orientation of the motors. This is significantly different from the case of self-diffusiophoretic motors, which emit and receive chemical signals in a relatively isotropic fashion. Finally, electrostatic interactions enforce the staggered structures of nanorod dimers and trimers, as well as the shapes of rod-tracer particle assemblies, which determine their trajectories. Such controlled asymmetry is difficult to build into diffusiophoretic systems.

Potential Application as a Microassembler and Microtransporter. The bimetallic nanomotors become microengines once tracer particle assemblies form, carrying a large number of particles (cargo) in solution at speeds of a few µm per second (Movie S6). Over time, more particles are driven into close-packed rafts and merge together. Depending on the configuration of the merged assemblies, the cargo particles can be asymmetrically distributed along the periphery and impart torque to the aggregate, causing them to rotate. Because the power provided by one nanomotor is very limited, roughly on the order of 10^{-18} W/rod (47), a rotation rate of only a few rpm was observed for large aggregates.

A considerable amount of effort has been dedicated to designing nano- and micromotors capable of loading, transporting, and delivering microscale cargo (49, 50). Different techniques haven been developed to achieve cargo pickup, such as electrostatic attraction (51), specific or nonspecific binding (51-56), magnetic interactions (57, 58), hydrodynamic interactions (59), hydrophobic affinity (31), molecular imprinting (60), and even purely mechanical force (46, 61). Most of these cargo transport systems require the cargo and/or the cargo carrier to be functionalized in some way (31, 51, 53-56, 60). Those that do not have such a requirement typically involve the use of magnetic fields to find and manipulate cargo (29, 30, 33, 34). Some catalytic microtransporter systems drive particle assembly at the air-water interface (46) or at a hydrophobic motor surface (31, 61). The system presented here is so far unique in the sense that the forces driving the assembly are generated by catalysis and offer a level of control in mixtures of active and passive particles. An assembler that can be used with generic particles of either surface charge (positive or negative, as neutral colloidal particles are rare in water) is therefore a potentially useful addition. Moreover, the ability to assemble multiple generic cargo particles in an organized way is particularly desirable for creating complex assemblies, and is hard to achieve with previously reported systems (46). The microassembler system we demonstrate here is based on a well-studied bimetallic self-electrophoretic nanomotor and addresses these challenges positively.

Conclusions

The dynamic interactions between active bimetallic nanomotors in H_2O_2 solution drive the formation of staggered doublets and triplets, as well as the 2D assembly of charged tracer particles at one end of the nanomotor. Motor tracking data are consistent with numerical simulations of the assembly process, and together these data support the conclusion that the assembly interactions are driven by local, catalytically generated electric fields. Because the assembly of the rod-shaped particles is strongly orientation-dependent and results in changes in the direction of motion, there is some potential to use these effects in chemical sensing, and also in applications involving the assembly, transport, and separation of particles. These ideas will be explored in future experiments.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of Metallic Nanowires. The nanowires were prepared by electrodeposition of metals at constant current in porous alumina membranes (purchased from Whatman Inc., 200 nm pore size) (39). The length of the nanowires was controlled by monitoring the charges passed. Metal plating solutions were purchased from Technic Inc. Details of the synthesis can be found in *SI Text*. Tracking of Autonomous Nanomotors and Microparticles. Bimetallic nanorods (and tracer particles if necessary) were suspended in 5% H_2O_2 solution for observation. An Olympus BX60M optical microscope (reflective mode) and a commercial video capturing bundle (Dazzle Video Creator Plus) were used for observing the particles and recording movies. Movies were then analyzed with PhysMo 2 (http://physmo.sf.net), and the coordinates of the centers of the particles (nanomotors and/or tracer particles) were recorded as a function of time. The speed of microparticles was calculated by dividing the displacement of the particle center between frames by the solected tracking period.

- Grzybowski BA, Stone HA, Whitesides GM (2000) Dynamic self-assembly of magnetized, millimetre-sized objects rotating at a liquid-air interface. *Nature* 405(6790): 1033–1036.
- Mann S (2009) Self-assembly and transformation of hybrid nano-objects and nanostructures under equilibrium and non-equilibrium conditions. *Nat Mater* 8(10): 781–792.
- 3. Hess H (2006) Self-assembly driven by molecular motors. Soft Matter 2(8):669-677.
- Velev OD, Gangwal S, Petsev DN (2009) Particle-localized AC and DC manipulation and electrokinetics. Annu Rep Prog Chem C 105:213–245.
- Shi JJ, et al. (2009) Acoustic tweezers: Patterning cells and microparticles using standing surface acoustic waves (SSAW). Lab Chip 9(20):2890–2895.
- Klajn R, Bishop KJM, Grzybowski BA (2007) Light-controlled self-assembly of reversible and irreversible nanoparticle suprastructures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104(25): 10305–10309.
- Juárez JJ, Mathai PP, Liddle JA, Bevan MA (2012) Multiple electrokinetic actuators for feedback control of colloidal crystal size. Lab Chip 12(20):4063–4070.
- Wang YF, et al. (2012) Colloids with valence and specific directional bonding. Nature 491(7422):51–55.
- Yan J, Bloom M, Bae SC, Luijten E, Granick S (2012) Linking synchronization to selfassembly using magnetic Janus colloids. *Nature* 491(7425):578–581.
- Sacanna S, Irvine WTM, Chaikin PM, Pine DJ (2010) Lock and key colloids. Nature 464(7288):575–578.
- Chen Q, et al. (2011) Supracolloidal reaction kinetics of Janus spheres. Science 331(6014):199–202.
- Meng GN, Arkus N, Brenner MP, Manoharan VN (2010) The free-energy landscape of clusters of attractive hard spheres. *Science* 327(5965):560–563.
- Drocco J, Lopatina LM, Reichhardt C, Reichhardt CJO (2012) Dynamics of self-driven and flocking particles on periodic arrays. Proc SPIE, 10.1117/12.931268.
- Galajda P, Keymer J, Chaikin P, Austin R (2007) A wall of funnels concentrates swimming bacteria. J Bacteriol 189(23):8704–8707.
- Angelani L, Di Leonardo R, Ruocco G (2009) Self-starting micromotors in a bacterial bath. *Phys Rev Lett* 102(4):048104.
- Sokolov A, Apodaca MM, Grzybowski BA, Aranson IS (2010) Swimming bacteria power microscopic gears. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(3):969–974.
- Volpe G, Buttinoni I, Vogt D, Kummerer HJ, Bechinger C (2011) Microswimmers in patterned environments. Soft Matter 7(19):8810–8815.
- Grzybowski BA, Whitesides GM (2002) Dynamic aggregation of chiral spinners. Science 296(5568):718–721.
- Deseigne J, Leonard S, Dauchot O, Chaté H (2012) Vibrated polar disks: spontaneous motion, binary collisions, and collective dynamics. Soft Matter 8(20):5629–5639.
- Hong Y, Velegol D, Chaturvedi N, Sen A (2010) Biomimetic behavior of synthetic particles: From microscopic randomness to macroscopic control. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 12(7):1423–1435.
- Hong Y, Diaz M, Córdova-Figueroa UM, Sen A (2010) Light-driven titanium-dioxidebased reversible microfireworks and micromotor/micropump systems. Adv Funct Mater 20(10):1568–1576.
- Palacci J, Sacanna S, Steinberg AP, Pine DJ, Chaikin PM (2013) Living crystals of lightactivated colloidal surfers. *Science* 339(6122):936–940.
- 23. Kline TR, et al. (2006) Catalytically driven colloidal patterning and transport. J Phys Chem B 110(48):24513–24521.
- Duan W, Liu R, Sen A (2013) Transition between collective behaviors of micromotors in response to different stimuli. J Am Chem Soc 135(4):1280–1283.
- Ibele M, Mallouk TE, Sen A (2009) Schooling behavior of light-powered autonomous micromotors in water. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 48(18):3308–3312.
- Kagan D, Balasubramanian S, Wang J (2011) Chemically triggered swarming of gold microparticles. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 50(2):503–506.
- Solovev AA, Sanchez S, Schmidt OG (2012) Collective behaviour of self-propelled catalytic micromotors. *Nanoscale* 5(4):1284–1293.
- Wang Y, et al. (2009) Dynamic interactions between fast microscale rotors. J Am Chem Soc 131(29):9926–9927.
- Gibbs JG, Zhao Y (2010) Self-organized multiconstituent catalytic nanomotors. Small 6(15):1656–1662.
- Ebbens S, Jones RAL, Ryan AJ, Golestanian R, Howse JR (2010) Self-assembled autonomous runners and tumblers. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 82(1 Pt 2): 015304.

Finite Element Modeling. The ion distribution and electrical charges around the Au–Pt nanomotors operating by self-electrophoresis were simulated by using a finite element model. The simulation was carried out with the COMSOL multiphysics package (47). Details of this simulation model can be found in *SI Text*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors thank Prof. Mark Maroncelli for helpful discussions. This project was supported by the National Science Foundation under Materials Research Science and Engineering Center Grant DMR-0802404. Analytical instrumentation used in this work was supported by the Pennsylvania State University Materials Research Institute Nanofabrication Laboratory under National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. ECS-0335765.

- Gao W, Pei A, Feng X, Hennessy C, Wang J (2013) Organized self-assembly of Janus micromotors with hydrophobic hemispheres. J Am Chem Soc 135(3):998–1001.
- Wang W, Castro LA, Hoyos M, Mallouk TE (2012) Autonomous motion of metallic microrods propelled by ultrasound. ACS Nano 6(7):6122–6132.
- Lushi E, Goldstein RE, Shelley MJ (2012) Collective chemotactic dynamics in the presence of self-generated fluid flows. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 86(4 Pt 1):040902.
- Thakur S, Kapral R (2010) Self-propelled nanodimer bound state pairs. J Chem Phys 133(20):204505.
- Golestanian R (2012) Collective behavior of thermally active colloids. Phys Rev Lett 108(3):038303.
- Thakur S, Kapral R (2012) Collective dynamics of self-propelled sphere-dimer motors. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 85(2 Pt 2):026121.
- Chen Y, Shi Y (2013) Dynamic self assembly of confined active nanoparticles. Chem Phys Lett 557(0):76–79.
- Paxton WF, et al. (2004) Catalytic nanomotors: Autonomous movement of striped nanorods. J Am Chem Soc 126(41):13424–13431.
- Wang Y, et al. (2006) Bipolar electrochemical mechanism for the propulsion of catalytic nanomotors in hydrogen peroxide solutions. *Langmuir* 22(25):10451–10456.
- Fournier-Bidoz S, Arsenault AC, Manners I, Ozin GA (2005) Synthetic self-propelled nanorotors. Chem Commun (Camb) (4):441–443.
- Paxton WF, Sundararajan S, Mallouk TE, Sen A (2006) Chemical locomotion. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 45(33):5420–5429.
- Paxton WF, et al. (2006) Catalytically induced electrokinetics for motors and micropumps. J Am Chem Soc 128(46):14881–14888.
- Paxton WF, Sen A, Mallouk TE (2005) Motility of catalytic nanoparticles through selfgenerated forces. *Chemistry* 11(22):6462–6470.
- 44. Lamb H (1932) Hydrodynamics (Dover, New York), 6th Ed.
- Li T, Kheifets S, Medellin D, Raizen MG (2010) Measurement of the instantaneous velocity of a Brownian particle. Science 328(5986):1673–1675.
- Solovev AA, Sanchez S, Pumera M, Mei YF, Schmidt OG (2010) Magnetic control of tubular catalytic microbots for the transport, assembly, and delivery of micro-objects. *Adv Funct Mater* 20(15):2430–2435.
- Wang W, Chiang T-Y, Velegol D, Mallouk TE (2013) Understanding the efficiency of autonomous nano- and microscale motors. J Am Chem Soc 135(28):10557–10565.
- Ibele ME, Lammert PE, Crespi VH, Sen A (2010) Emergent, collective oscillations of self-mobile particles and patterned surfaces under redox conditions. ACS Nano 4(8): 4845–4851.
- Wang J (2012) Cargo-towing synthetic nanomachines: Towards active transport in microchip devices. Lab Chip 12(11):1944–1950.
- 50. Patra D, et al. (2013) Intelligent, self-powered, drug delivery systems. Nanoscale 5(4): 1273–1283.
- Sundararajan S, Lammert PE, Zudans AW, Crespi VH, Sen A (2008) Catalytic motors for transport of colloidal cargo. Nano Lett 8(5):1271–1276.
- Sundararajan S, Sengupta S, Ibele ME, Sen A (2010) Drop-off of colloidal cargo transported by catalytic Pt-Au nanomotors via photochemical stimuli. *Small* 6(14): 1479–1482.
- Simmchen J, Baeza A, Ruiz D, Esplandiu MJ, Vallet-Regí M (2012) Asymmetric hybrid silica nanomotors for capture and cargo transport: Towards a novel motion-based DNA sensor. Small 8(13):2053–2059.
- Campuzano S, et al. (2012) Bacterial isolation by lectin-modified microengines. Nano Lett 12(1):396–401.
- Orozco J, et al. (2011) Dynamic isolation and unloading of target proteins by aptamer-modified microtransporters. Anal Chem 83(20):7962–7969.
- Guix M, et al. (2012) Superhydrophobic alkanethiol-coated microsubmarines for effective removal of oil. ACS Nano 6(5):4445–4451.
- 57. Kagan D, et al. (2010) Rapid delivery of drug carriers propelled and navigated by catalytic nanoshuttles. *Small* 6(23):2741–2747.
- Baraban L, et al. (2012) Catalytic Janus motors on microfluidic chip: Deterministic motion for targeted cargo delivery. ACS Nano 6(4):3383–3389.
- Gao W, et al. (2012) Cargo-towing fuel-free magnetic nanoswimmers for targeted drug delivery. Small 8(3):460–467.
- Orozco J, et al. (2013) Molecularly imprinted polymer-based catalytic micromotors for selective protein transport. J Am Chem Soc 135(14):5336–5339.
- Baraban L, et al. (2012) Transport of cargo by catalytic Janus micro-motors. Soft Matter 8(1):48–52.

Supporting Information

Wang et al. 10.1073/pnas.1311543110

SI Text

Synthesis of Metallic Nanorods. The procedure for growing bimetallic nanorods (nanomotors) was adopted and modified from earlier reports (1). Anodic alumina membranes (AAO, purchased from Whatman Inc., 200 nm pore size) were used as the template for the electrodeposition of metals. We evaporated 5 nm of Cr and 350 nm of Ag by using a Kurt Lesker Lab-18 electron beam evaporator on the back side (branched pore side) of the AAO membrane to serve as the working electrode. A two-electrode system was generally used, with a Pt coil serving as both the pseudoreference electrode and the counterelectrode. When the cell was used in this configuration, electrodeposition was done at constant current. The metal plating solutions were purchased from Technic Inc. and were used as received. Metals of interest were sequentially electrodeposited into the AAO membrane, and the length of the metal segments was controlled by monitoring the charge passed. After the electrodeposition step, the membrane was thoroughly rinsed with deionized (DI) water and dried, and was soaked sequentially in 1:1 vol/vol HNO₃ and 0.5 M NaOH to dissolve the silver backing and the alumina membrane, respectively. After that the wires were sonicated and washed in DI water several times until the pH was neutral.

- Wang W, Chiang T-Y, Velegol D, Mallouk TE (2013) Understanding the efficiency of autonomous nano- and microscale motors. J Am Chem Soc 135(28): 10557–10565.
- Moran JL, Posner JD (2011) Electrokinetic locomotion due to reaction-induced charge auto-electrophoresis. J Fluid Mech 680:31–66.

Gold microparticles (AuMP, $0.8-1.5 \mu m$, 99.96%+) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. We purchased 1.7 μm polystyrene spheres from Bangs Laboratories, Inc. (PS 04N), we purchased 1.5 μm amine functionalized polystyrene spheres from Invitrogen (SKU A37324).

Finite Element Simulations. The finite element simulation model was based on two previous reports by Posner and coworkers (2, 3), and the details of the model can be found in our recently published paper (1). In this model, protons are generated and consumed at the Pt and Au ends of the nanorod (3 µm long and 300 nm in diameter), respectively, at a constant flux $(7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol/m}^2 \cdot \text{s})$. As a result of the distribution of the positively charged protons, an electric field is generated that points from the Pt end to the Au end. Because the nanorod carries a negative surface potential (-50 mV), the electric field leads to a surface electroosmotic flow along the long axis of the nanorod from Pt to Au. The distribution of ions and electrical charges as well as the fluid flow were simulated simultaneously by COMSOL, and in the end the fluid flow profile around the nanorod was generated by the simulation. Then the method developed by Solomentsev and Anderson was used to obtain the motor speed based on the fluid velocity around the nanorod (4).

- Moran JL, Wheat PM, Posner JD (2010) Locomotion of electrocatalytic nanomotors due to reaction induced charge autoelectrophoresis. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 81(6 Pt 2):065302.
- Solomentsev Y, Anderson JL (1994) Electrophoresis of slender particles. J Fluid Mech 279:197–215.



Fig. S1. Field emission SEM image of a typical Au-Pt nanorod fabricated by electrodeposition in an AAO membrane (top, Au; bottom, Pt).



Fig. S2. Field emission SEM image (in secondary electron mode) of an Au–Pt nanorod amid an aggregate of 1.7 µm polystyrene spheres. Note that the nanorod and PS spheres are not necessarily attached together by interactions in the liquid suspension; the evaporation of the solvent exerts strong capillary forces that are likely to disrupt such interactions.



Fig. S3. Field emission SEM image of gold microspheres purchased from Alfa Aesar.



Fig. S4. Field emission SEM of a typical Au nanorod fabricated by electrodeposition in an AAO membrane.



Fig. S5. Numerical simulation of the electrostatic interactions between pairs of contacting nanomotors. Distances on both x and y axes in the images are shown in μ m. These images are slices of the xz planes of 3D simulation results. Colors in the images represent the space charge density (C/m³), with red being positive and blue negative. (*Left*) Staggered doublet of rods moving in the same direction. (*Center*) Aligned rods moving in the same direction. (*Right*) Rods moving in opposite directions.



Fig. S6. Likely trajectories for a doublet composed of two Au–Pt nanomotors. (*A*) The doublet can have a linear or circular trajectory depending on the relative speed (and therefore the propulsion force, F) of the two component nanorods. Black arrows indicate the force vectors. F_1 and F_2 represent the axial propulsion force. The black dots indicate the center of drag, and *I* is the distance between it and the rod axes. (*B*) The effect of Brownian motion and propulsion force on the doublet. F_3 is the combined attractive forces that pull nanorods together (electrical and van der Waals forces). *k*T represents the thermal energy that is responsible for Brownian motion, and θ is the angle between the two nanorods. Depending on the relative magnitude of the attractive force and the random Brownian force, the doublet can maintain a stable circular path or disintegrate.



Fig. 57. Tracking results for the assembly of three polystyrene microspheres on an Au–Pt nanomotor from Movie S4. The black arrows indicate the points at which the spheres first attach to the nanomotor surface. The gaps between the trajectories of bead-1,2 and the motor represent the displacement of the centers of the particles being tracked. I, free motor; II, motor + bead-1; III, motor + bead-2; IV, motor + bead-3. The time interval between two consecutive data points is 0.033 s. (*Insets*) Optical microscopic snapshots of the assembly process. (Scale bar, 5 μ m.)







Movie S1. Illustrations of Au–Pt motor–motor interactions and the formation of rotating doublets as well as a triplet. This movie plays at 20% of the original speed, and comments are added to highlight the interaction events. This movie was taken at an overall magnification of 500×.

Movie S1



Movie S2. Interactions between active Au–Pt nanomotors and passive Au nanorods. Au–Pt nanomotors move with Pt end (silvery color) forward at \sim 20 μ m/s, whereas Au nanorods move only slightly due to Brownian motion. This movie was taken at an overall magnification of 500×.

Movie S2



Movie S3. Interactions between active Au–Pt nanomotors and passive Au microspheres. Au–Pt nanomotors move with Pt end forward at \sim 20 µm/s, whereas microspheres move only slightly due to Brownian motion. Some aggregates of Au spheres and Au–Pt motors have already formed, as this movie was taken a short time after the samples were mixed. This movie was taken at an overall magnification of 500x.

Movie S3



Movie S4. Interactions between one active Au–Pt nanomotor and four polystyrene spheres. The last PS sphere is picked up by the nanomotor at ~8s. This movie was taken at an overall magnification of 500×.

Movie S4



Movie S5. Rotation of an Au–Pt nanomotor with an amidine-functionalized PS sphere attached on the Au (golden color) segment. This movie was taken at an overall magnification of 1,000×.

Movie S5



Movie S6. Example of an Au-Pt nanomotor pushing a large aggregate consisting of both Au-Pt nanorods and polystyrene spheres. This movie was taken at an overall magnification of 500×.

Movie S6

* *